

To

The Manager-Listing & Compliance Department
Metropolitan Stock Exchange of India Limited
Building A, Unit 205A, 2nd Floor,
Piramal Agastya Corporate Park,
L.B.S Road, Kurla West,
Mumbai-400070

Sub: Disclosure under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 Update on Scheme of Amalgamation

Stock Scrip Code: SGEL

Dear Sir/ Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, we wish to inform you that the Company has today, June 27, 2026, received the certified true copy of the order dated June 23, 2026 passed by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT), Chennai Bench.

The Appellants (*Ganesh Patwari & 6 Ors.*) had challenged the NCLT Hyderabad Bench's order dated February 24, 2026, which rejected their objections to the Company's Scheme of Amalgamation.


As the main Company Petition (CP(CAA) No. 35/230/HDB/2024) was already decided on merits on March 27, 2026, the Counsel for the Appellants sought permission to withdraw the appeal. Consequently, the Hon'ble NCLAT has permitted the withdrawal and ordered the appeal to be "**dismissed as withdrawn**".


The copy of the certified order as received by the Company is attached herewith for your records.

Kindly take the above information on record.

Yours faithfully,

For Starlite Global Enterprises (India) Limited


Sanjay Patwari
Managing Director
DIN: 00253330



Place: Hyderabad
Date: 27-06-2026

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
AT CHENNAI
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Company Appeal (AT) (CH) No. 57/2026
(IA Nos. 792, 793 & 794/2026)

In the matter of:

Ganesh Patwari & 6 Ors.

... Appellants

V

Starlite Global Enterprises (India) Ltd. & Anr.

... Respondents

Present :

For Appellants : Mr. S. Vedhavel, Advocate

For Respondents : Ms. Vaishnavi Ambadipudi, Advocate

For Ms. Nishitha B, For Caveator

ORDER
(Hybrid Mode)

16.06.2026:

The Appellant has put a challenge to the impugned order of 24.02.2026, as passed by the Learned NCLT, Hyderabad Bench. By virtue of the impugned order, the IA (CA) No.11/2025, as preferred in CP(CAA) No. 35/230/HDB/2024 which was in the shape of an objection to the scheme of amalgamation has been rejected.


The Appellant has submitted that in the meanwhile, the main Company Petition, being CP (CAA) No. 35/230/HDB/2024 has been decided on merits vide order dated 27.03.2026.

In that eventuality, the Learned Counsel for the Appellant seeks permission to withdraw the instant Company Appeal, reserving his rights to agitate all the



legal issues raised herein, by putting a challenge to the decision taken in the main Company Petition.

Subject to the aforesaid, the Company Appeal is permitted to be "dismissed as withdrawn".



YS/MS/AK

[Justice Sharad Kumar Sharma]
Member (Judicial)

[Jatindranath Swain]
Member (Technical)

22/06/2026

Assistant Registrar
National Company Law Appellate Tribunal
(Chennai Bench)
Chennai

FREE OF COST COPY
CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY
OF THE ORIGINAL